FlexSTEP MEETING

BETWEEN

CPS ENERGY AND SIERRA CLUB

REMOTE VIA WEBEX

JANUARY 28TH, 2021 4:00 P.M.

1	CPS ENERGY
2	
3	FlexSTEP MEETING
4	
5	BETWEEN
6	
7	CPS ENERGY AND SIERRA CLUB
8	************
9	
10	REMOTE VIA WEBEX
11	
12	*************
13	
14	JANUARY 28TH, 2021
15	4:00 P.M.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	SPEAKERS IN ATTENDANCE
2	
3	Paula Gold-Williams
4	Greg Harmon
5	Cyrus Reed
6	Rudy Garza
7	Ann Kinnard
8	Kathy Garcia
9	Drew Higgins
10	Rick Luna
11	Loretta Kerner
12	Melissa Sorola
13	Adam Jacobs
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 KATHY GARCIA: Certainly want to say good afternoon to everybody and welcome to the meeting today. 2 Upfront, please note the meeting is being recorded and 3 will be transcribed. I know that we've all been on 4 5 these calls before, but just to make sure for the 6 purposes of the meeting, Kathy Garcia, Vice President of 7 Governmental Relations at CPS, and I'll help to kick our 8 meeting underway today. 9 Participants in today's meeting from CPS Energy are Paula Gold-Williams, our President and CEO. 10 Loretta Kerner, our Director of Board 11 Relations and Chief of Staff to the President and CEO. 12 13 Rudy Garza, our Chief Customer Engagement 14 Officer. Ann Kinnard, who is Chief of Staff to 15 16 Rudy. 17 Drew Higgins, Senior Director of Products 18 and Services. 19 Rick Luna, Director of Technology and 20 Product Innovation. And then Melissa Sorola, Senior Director 21 of Corporate Communications and Marketing, and then 2.2 23 myself. 24 We really do again appreciate the 25 opportunity to continue our discussions with you

regarding our FlexSTEP program. We do have an hour again today and we want to make sure that we cover the topics that are most important to you. I believe all of us on the call would like to further discuss the tried and true programs as we continue to work towards the issuance of that RFP.

We did receive your email dated

January 25th that offered quite a bit of additional

feedback. And to that, we provided some responsive

materials to you ahead of this meeting, which I know

Greg mentioned wanting to discuss.

So with that, I'll look to the members that are on for the Sierra Club regarding any opening comments that you'd like to make today.

Mr. Positivity to start off with. And so I want to say we really appreciate you opening this dialogue, and we appreciate the responses, many of which we did see as positive. Including your willingness to not only measure kilowatt hour savings, which we believe is sort of the most important, especially for residential customers. But to, you know, move to a higher goal of one percent, which is in line with what Austin Energy is doing on a yearly basis. And it is similar -- you might appreciate this, Rudy -- we have a -- we're working on a

bill that would make TDUs -- try to aim for a one percent goal, so it is in line with that.

Again, we think it's possible to do more than that, as many of the leading utilities around the country are doing it, but at least as it's sort of moving in the right direction in terms of kilowatt hours saved.

And then also, kind of acknowledging that in your RFP, you can look at multifamily weatherization programs and the potential for covering air conditioning through some sort of a financing option.

I did want to say that this sort of came up later, and it might be obvious to you already, and I don't know whether this would be an initial RFP or a new technologies, but a lot of utilities are also looking at heat pumps as well. So, you know, you can do centralized air conditioning with natural gas as your heating component or you can use heat pumps as heat pumps as the technology gets better and cheaper, it -- you want to look at. We would encourage you to look at heat pumps as well as part of your program. And I don't know whether it would be in tried and true with the new ones.

And then, yes, I think that's -- so I wanted to start by, you know, saying we acknowledge the

sort of positive movement on your guy's part.

GREG HARMAN: Thanks, Cyrus. And I would echo some of that. I think some of the details on some of this policy stuff we can get into deeper into the call, especially as we go through the PowerPoint, the slide deck. And I think as identified in our letter, that -- that weatherization, how we can maximize this program to address the needs of those who are most impacted by COVID through the low income. All this I know is on your minds as well through the weatherization and other related programs.

Probably like to unpack a little bit if there's somewhere to go with this gas, the idea of incentivizing, you know, a reduction in gas usage. And then we've talked to some partners and had other calls on this, but -- and I recognize, in reviewing some of the materials, that there's some limitations into how deep we can go.

But when it comes to measuring success, I think is another big subject that we can take up on this call at the appropriate time, whether it's through, you know, how success is measured, coincident peak, non-coincident peak. How we measure the benefits of STEP, you know, this is a conversation we've had, and continues to be one I notice in board meetings this week

as well, with the CEO's report on what is it going to cost to retire early the coal.

And I'm really excited about the report that came out. I was, you know -- obviously a lot of people were excited to see it. Monday, I expect we'll probably be seeing it in a month. And I hope that we can get a better and a fuller understanding of the existing kind of -- what the community, what the board members, and what we'll be seeing as this community conversations rolls out. Without that benefit of that report, so maybe those are probably top line items, I think, for our folks on this call.

So thanks again, and look forward to moving into this.

15 KATHY GARCIA: Thank you. Adam, anything 16 from you?

ADAM JACOBS: No, nothing. I think Greg and Cyrus covered it well, so thank you.

KATHY GARCIA: Okay. Thank you. With that, we'll turn it over to Rick. I think he's going to provide just a real quick recap of the things of where we are to date, and then can make sure that we leave time for the items that have been outlined that want to discuss.

RICK LUNA: I'm sorry, I was on mute

there. Kathy, can you see my screen?

(All thumbs up.)

RICK LUNA: Okay. Great, thank you.

Continuing the discussion here, we do want to touch a little bit on our last meeting, our last discussion, and then share with you our perspective on the items that you identified in your letter to us from a few days ago. And, you know I'm continuing the discussion around areas of common ground. I think Cyrus, you said it well, that there are topics we've already sort of had the common ground on around, for example, the kilowatt hour goal. And we have looked at your feedback, appreciate you sending that email over after our last meeting. We've had some time to go through that with our technical folks, and we'll share some thoughts on that. I think what we've learned from the examples you sent over.

And then again, you know, continuing to talk about the scale and the size of FlexSTEP and obviously, that is still an area of difference, we'll talk a little bit about that.

So look forward to continuing the discussion. And so, I'll just lightly touch on some of these. I trust you had a chance to read this. I don't want to be laborious. I'll just point out a few things here and there. You know, again, relative to the energy

savings target, we feel like the one percent, for instance, is a good starting point, a bench mark. And we can do that right. We'll have to tweak the portfolio to achieve it, but we feel like we can do that. It's achievable without having to, you know, impact customer bills and without having to compete with other community requests, which is, as you know, really important to us.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

On the topic of coincident peak versus non-coincident, we thought a lot about that, and in fact we were on a call yesterday with some other utilities, the Consortium for Energy Efficiency put on an event. And this topic of goals came up, and we're finding that a lot of utilities are trying to find a way to broaden their goals, the measure of community impact and community benefit. And whether by foresight or by luck, I feel like we're kind of there already with our NCP goal because we're able to value things that if we were strictly doing CP, right, we would be doing a lot of air conditioning, a lot of DR. But really, would be dis-incented from doing things that you want us to do like weatherization and lighting and things that save energy. So I think that's our position there, our perspective there we wanted to share with you.

That said, we do report the CP values in all of our reports, so as far as benchmarking and so

forth, all of the data is available to do that. So we're certainly not hiding the values. They are available publicly. And so we can be judged by those results as we go forward.

We talked about gas, and as Paula said in our last meeting, we're open to that. We see STEP as an electric reduction program. But that's not to say that a new gas program operationally couldn't be aligned. I think to your point, there are certainly operational benefits that -- the auditor, for example goes out, only having to go out once. So I think from an operational perspective, very workable. But as far as the legacy of STEP and so forth, we feel like, you know, electric is really what we're definitely focused on and we think that it should stay that way. Again, not to say we wouldn't do gas as a separate program.

Now, seeing from the information you sent us about the weatherization program in Austin, I think it's a very interesting model. We have a good contact over there, we talked to them a little bit about it. And it's a workable approach. It basically is in multi-family units and I will point out that it's a light weatherization.

You know, they're mostly doing thermostats, they're doing a little bit air infiltration

measures. I think out of 12 different measures, most folks get two or three, which is not an intensive as what we do today with single family. I do want to caution that --

it. You're correct, I don't want to oversell it. They have a more complete home weatherization program and then they have a multi-family program, which as you say more of a light touch. Because of something of the difficulties in getting the owner of the multi-family to agree, it's a little bit of a lighter program.

But that being said, they've been -- it's relatively new, but they're starting to reach a lot more customers by having a multi-family program.

RICK LUNA: Right, and that's right, there's reasons for the way they do it and one of the reasons is also because -- and they find that a lot of times the insulation and so forth is already in pretty decent shape, it doesn't really require the intensive work that we might do in a single-family unit.

So likewise, we did talk about their third-party financing. So they have a credit union they partnered with or is just, you know, collaborating. I don't know if partnership is the right word. But at least they send them over and -- now, they do have to

credit qualify, so they have a credit score that is accessible for most people. And it's a pretty new initiative. I mean, the numbers are still small. But it looks like an interesting approach, and it's really about financing that air conditioning purchase and trying to make that easier.

So, we think it's worth, you know, putting that in the RFP and seeing what the market can bring us in terms of something like that.

RUDY GARZA: Before you go on, Rick, on that point, I did want to reiterate today, none of the -- the puzzle of bringing the different program opportunities, that's what the RFP is about. So dropping a multi-family element into the RFP allows us to explore that idea and see what the market brings back to us in terms of this program that we think can help us meet our goals.

So that's why this dialogue has been so helpful, because our goal is programs we know have been successful that meet a need of our community, especially on the low income side for the tried and true. And I think that innovative and technology driven RFP is really going to be about bringing us new programs that we have never considered before that we think, you know, the timing is right and, you know, the conditions are

right in our market to serve whatever that policy opportunity might be.

So, the good thing is none of this is written in stone. And we're really looking towards building those RFPs that can be open and opportunistic for some of these new ideas. So that's why this dialogue is so important, and I kind of just want to reinforce that.

RICK LUNA: Thank you, Rudy. I do want to, in the spirit of that, talk about the other RFP sent to us, Cyrus, so the local solar one that Austin did a few months back. And so, had a chance to look at that. So they're looking for solar resources under 10 megawatts that could be located in the Austin Energy service territory. We actually think that we're a little bit ahead of the game on this one, in that the FlexPOWER Bundle RFP that's out there now, and responses are coming due here in the next few days, is exactly in line with that approach. So we think we're well-positioned already to do that. And in fact, there's a lot of similarities in the approaches that --including the storage piece of that.

CYRUS REED: Yeah. And to be clear,

Austin Energy does two different RFPs. They do one more
for the utility scale, and then this one was the first

time they did one for more smaller resources. So they do a yearly big one, where they look for the big utility scale.

As far as I know, this was the first time they've looked -- rather than Austin Energy running the solar programs, getting somebody else to come forward in getting a bunch of local solar and storage in one swoop. I don't know the results, they haven't reported the results yet.

GREG HARMAN: Maybe we can set aside time, if we can, on the call as well for kind of like where STEP solar work integrates or is anticipated to integrate with rooftop solar as a chunk of this 900 megawatts and Bundle. How, and I think, Rick, you were kind of getting -- speaking a little bit also to kind of like microgrid or grid storage technology.

I mean, there's a lot here that could be integrated targeting, you know, particular areas of town as kind of like test beds, or, you know, partnering in that again with like job creation, you know, as elevating that maybe in the selection criteria.

But anyway, I think that's really all interesting and bringing, you have to kind of bring Bundle in a little bit to understand STEP, perhaps. But that's -- just a request for maybe five or ten minutes

of the call later on.

RICK LUNA: Okay. We'll capture that. Thank you for the feedback there.

So again, quickly going through here, you asked about the data assumptions and the bill increase information. So as you know from our board meeting on Monday, the board is currently reviewing the assumptions document. So we'll need to follow their guidance on that information before we can release it. I hope you understand on that.

And then with respect to the RFP and wanting to see the actual document, I think the concern here is that that's a competitive solicitation, so I think we have some concerns about sharing that out, you know, in advance of it being publicized to the market.

So with that said, you know, we are going to ensure that what agreements we come to, right, are reflected in that document. And once it's released, of course, it's a public document, so you'll be able to check that. But certainly, the fact that it's competitive, I think gives us pause in terms of, you know, we want to run a process that's fair and, you know, without question as we get down the road on that.

GREG HARMAN: Thanks, Rick. I just wanted to close up on that because it came up early in

the conversation, and it was a suggestion that it would be a shared document in this process, but it wasn't a promise. And so I just wanted to revisit to see where that lived in the landscape of these calls.

And then quick response, I guess just on the assumptions around the slide decks that we've been seeing on the cost of conversion, the cost of coal closures. I think there's probably like, I think, one of the key phrases from this meeting was "high level," everything had to be high level, the board was concerned and Cris said, hey, this is going to be high level. There may be a way we can talk about those on the call later that's at a slightly higher level than maybe what you're thinking in terms of what our request is but just a note on that.

RUDY GARZA: I guess what I would maybe suggest is if you've got specific language that you think gets to your proposal, send us language you think may make sense, and we'll take a look at how you phrased it and certainly take that into consideration. So I still think we can dialogue about how -- what ends up in the RFP in a manner that allows you to provide us input. So we kind of leave that door open, that we would accept anything you want to suggest that we can take a look at and work -- and factor into our -- to how we're phrasing

the RFP. Is that fair, Rick?

RICK LUNA: Absolutely. Absolutely.

GREG HARMAN: Yeah. That sounds good, it sounds like there's journalists who will call back and read back your quote, but they won't always share the context or the surrounding material, but I think that's a good place to be on this right now.

KATHY GARCIA: Also want to mention that Cyrus had a question. I'm trying to watch the chat and take notes, so please forgive me if I don't --

CYRUS REED: Just -- certainly a question, I'm like all advocates, I say it's a question, but it's really kind of not. I'm just hoping on the RFP, it's written in such a way that you're looking for a "minimum of," so you're looking in your programs to reach a minimum of these energy savings or these non-coincident peak or peak savings.

But obviously, if people can deliver -if people can deliver programs that would get you to one
and a half percent, you know, you will -- it's written
in a way so you're looking for the -- you're looking for
them to deliver more money for the budget that you have
available, basically. I just want to make sure that
you're not limiting what might be out there.

ADAM JACOBS: On that, I can just note

that we have found contractors sometimes will adjust their costs, and this is specific to individual projects, but we'll find where we have heat pump or air conditioning incentive where contractors will basically just change their price to account for the incentive.

So that's kind of a macro -- there is a macro example of that, but leaving the door open for, you know, giving a program budget the opportunity to exceed that one percent, and to whatever, you know, peak-related goal you're entertaining, I think that's a good point. And you know, they'll certainly take the opportunity to deliver the minimum if given the chance.

RUDY GARZA: Demand for energy aside, we still achieved, you know, over a hundred megawatts more savings than we were expecting at a lower cost and ahead of time. So I think our drivers are always going to be as efficient that we can and accelerate the time in which we're achieving it to the extent possible, because that speaks to the effectiveness of the programs, right?

So our hope is to continue to see that type of return on the program the way we have. I mean, being, you know, exceeding our goal ahead of time and under budget, if we can stick to those high level goals, then I think we'll have a really, you know, effective FlexSTEP program to complement what we've already done

with our STEP program. So yes, to your point, if they can deliver higher energy savings for the same amount of money, we're all for it.

ANDREW HIGGINS: If I can add a little to that, just a little history of the program. From the beginning of the program, we were not on pace to hit our goals. Rick took it over and he had to make some changes. So we will be closely monitoring, we have the support of leadership to make the changes necessary to kind of readjust on the fly to make sure that we can hit those targets. Rick and myself will be held accountable for hitting those. So Rick, did you want to add anything maybe on the changes you made in the past?

RICK LUNA: I think Rudy really hit it on the head. It's how we manage a budget and maximize the achievements within that budget. So it's a balancing act, but certainly, you know, we've seen vendors want to sell you as many kilowatt hours and KW as they can because that's how they make their money. So we try to bring to sort of the other -- we want to do that, but we also want to do it cost effectively and so far we've been successful. We think we can continue to be successful but it's obviously a balancing act.

RUDY GARZA: The other element of this that we really hadn't talked about is you're going to

see us do the same thing with the FlexSTEP RFP process as we do with the FlexPOWER Bundle process. We're going to issue the press release in ten different languages we're going to do an international PR wire marketing campaign.

Our goal is to turn over every stone to ensure that anybody who has a program that they think we should be considering is part of our, you know, what has been an award-winning STEP program. We want to continue that momentum and take it to a whole different level within the money we have to spend, you know, that keeps the program reasonable from a customer billing standpoint.

So you're going to see a big splash on FlexSTEP. We're not just going to kind of quietly put it out there, we're going to but it out there and we're going to market it to make sure that anybody who is interested in response to it and seize it.

RICK LUNA: If there's anybody has any more comment?

ADAM JACOBS: No, Rick. I did want to come back to the bill impacts component, but we can come back to that.

RICK LUNA: We've got one more slide. So we did want to put forward a proposal as far as a path

forward and put this up for discussion. You know, I think we've shared with you that we do have some urgency around releasing the first STEP RFP, the tried and true, and that's to ensure the continuity of programs. As you know, these are large contracts -- we have a lot of lead time that it takes us to get these competed and negotiated and vendors in place.

So we would like to recommend moving forward there. And of course, incorporating your feedback as we've talked about already from weatherization and the financing components, as well as adding the targets for kilowatt hours at a minimum, since I think Cyrus has a good point, that is our intention, right, is we put these out there and we're hoping for the best results from the selected vendor. And really let the market bring us the best and most cost effective solutions for the community.

So again, we wanted to put this out there for discussion and with that, I'll turn it back to the group.

ADAM JACOBS: Cyrus, is that my cue there?

GREG HARMAN: Adam, if you wanted to. I thought you had something interesting to bring. And maybe if you're able to even screen share the chart you

were sharing earlier on comparing -- I think the comment was made earlier deserves follow-up on programs -- suggesting there's certain programs that suffer under one scenario or the another, if you're looking at non-coincident peak. But if there's a way to share that chart, I don't know, in the context of this call.

ADAM JACOBS: Yes. So I guess I would start with a question in that when reviewing the evaluation reports, there's this note that's a little footnote after all the annual performance. And I think I'm looking at FY 2019, and it outlines the benefit cost ratio, the program administrator of benefit cost ratios that you're looking at, and you're taking the ratio of the net present value of avoided energy and capacity benefit.

When you say that capacity benefit, I would have to imagine that has to be either the coincident peak demand or, perhaps, the ERCOT 4 CP demand. Is that right?

RICK LUNA: Yes. So let me just kind of get in the weeds a little bit. The cost benefit calculation accounts for the coincident and non-coincident benefits. It's based on a load shape across different time buckets, right? And so, there's like an on-peak benefit, an off-peak benefit on the

demand and on the energy side. So it is reflected what you're getting at, which is the CP may be different from one program versus another.

So for example, two programs at the exact same NCP value would be higher -- have a higher capacity value in our UCT score because of the fact that it's got that peak value, right? And so it is accounted for in there.

an example, I'm looking at some of the commercial programs, and again, you are reporting it, so we have the ability to do the benchmarking. You know, this was especially true for the first phase of the STEP program where you only had that one top line goal, and it was in megawatts, but those megawatts didn't necessarily occur at the same time.

So you know, if the goal is to reduce the need for a new plant, those megawatts are all occurring at random times throughout the day, it doesn't necessarily reflect what the number kind of says it does.

Now, I think a lot of the concerns that we had are largely helped at least by the fact that, you know, you are agreeing to go after a kilowatt hour energy reduction goal. So I think that's a great

improvement.

I still think there's probably an opportunity to better optimize a portfolio, particularly when you have a diverse range of solutions, including normal energy efficiency, demand response. And I guess it's less clear to me now if solar is going to be pulled out and put into the FlexPOWER Bundle or so on-site customer solar is still intended to be captured through this program. So I guess maybe that's a question for you, Rick.

RICK LUNA: Yes. Traditionally STEP has included on-site, behind the meter customer owned solar. And we don't have plans to change that at this point. The POWER Bundle, if you read it closely, it's right in front of the meter. Same as Austin, it's in front of the meter solutions.

ADAM JACOBS: Great, thanks. Then the other point I wanted to come back to was in our last meeting, we did look at a slide that it said bill impacts on it, but, you know, I think it was looking at the cost per thousand kilowatt hours for someone based on the proposed, you know, STEP budget.

And then there was a subsequent line that showed what different levels of funding for the program might look like. That is largely representative of the

experience of a customer who pays the charges, but does not participate in the program. And some of the reasons why the letter that we sent over had these questions around average participant savings, is that to do a true bill impact analysis, you would look at, you know, what a participant is saving in the program, in addition to the cost they're incurring.

And what we often find is that the true bill impact, even for fairly aggressive programs that exceed one percent of, you know, utility sales in terms of their goal, they still have a negative bill impact because customers on average will save more than they are spending.

So some of this is just -- when we're talking about this, I think it's pretty important to make sure that we are, in fact, you know, using the correct language and when we say, "bill impact," we actually are counting for the full bill impacts, which would include both the cost of -- of you know, the system charge the customer is paying, but also account for the average participant savings that would come from that cost.

PAULA GOLD-WILLIAMS: Adam, I really appreciate your commentary. I think what we can do is add a second measure. Because what we're really trying

to do is a very basic concept of bill impact is to make sure that the community knows that we have an authorization through an ordinance to charge with the pool of money that goes into the program. But to your point, we could talk about adding a participant bill impact calculation, but we can't substitute but we can do the same thing we did on energy savings.

analysis done. I guess -- I guess -- I understand the collection component of it. I think seeing those side-by-side, so that if you're a customer, if you're just a general constituent, you're saying, man, this is going to cost me \$44 a year, but that's a cost with no benefit associated with it. So that's all money going out and no accounting for the money they may be saving. And that's typically how we see bill impact analysis done. I understand that you are just trying to convey the surcharge component, if that makes sense.

PAULA GOLD-WILLIAMS: So we can -- but we'll go and -- ability to create that additional calculation.

ADAM JACOBS: Great. Yeah, and frankly, I think that should largely be a part of this discussion about the overall size of the program. You know, if you're just looking at the costs and not accounting for the customer benefits. What was the point of the whole

program if people aren't benefiting from it.

The whole intention is to help them save energy and save money, so just looking at the cost side of it, is not necessarily the full accounting for it. But appreciate the recognition there and that is a valuable exercise to help people really understand what is it that they're paying for with this program.

PAULA GOLD-WILLIAMS: Got you.

ADAM JACOBS: And just one other note on that is, it is fair to look at nonparticipants in the program because they are paying for it and not necessarily getting anything out of it. And one thing I might encourage there is something that, again, we've seen in other jurisdictions which are, nonparticipants is to identify what the barriers are for customers engaging in the programs. Are there, you know, is it inconvenient for them to be home for the audit, are there barriers to them investing and a lot of it can come down to that upfront capital, on bill financing, things like that can help.

But nonparticipant studies that specifically target and look at who is paying for this program but not receiving the benefit, is an excellent way to put up control on that to make that as many of the community members you're serving are actually

getting the benefits of the great program and the good work you're doing.

2.2

GREG HARMAN: Which reminds me also of the early days of STEP when there was a lot of conversation about the program holistically where we're doing this for a reason. We're doing this to avoid another billion-dollar centralized power plant. And something that Cris and Paula both speak to in presentations from time to time about the future not being a centralized, you know, like billing. This is what was done early on to avoid those.

So I think it's still important when bringing forward conservation to costs, so-called costs of conservation, to look at a program level, I think. And that's what Adam was making note of as well. So it's an encouragement there, especially going into the conversation about the coal units.

It's a different math coming in on that, and I know and it's not something that the public will have access to, some of those numbers for a few more weeks now. But I think, yeah, the broad view on cost and benefit.

It sounded like, Paula, you were saying that there may be another line, like putting in a separate column, is that something you anticipate doing,

1 or is it something we should talk about more on this 2 call, or follow up on our next call? PAULA GOLD-WILLIAMS: I'm not going to 3 create the calculation -- I'm sorry, help me. 4 5 Yeah. Early -- so I think, GREG HARMAN: 6 maybe Adam can step in here, there was --7 ADAM JACOBS: Yeah. Let me see if I can -- I don't know if I have the ability to share a 8 9 screen, it looks like it might let me here. Can you see 10 the screen here? 11 Okay. So we're looking at this, and this is the annual bill impact, but I think what we're 12 13 actually are showing here is the annual bill impact for 14 a nonparticipant for someone who pays this \$44.28 per 15 year, but is not actually receiving any benefit from the 16 program. 17 And what we're suggesting is that if you 18 actually, truly want to do an annual bill impact 19 analysis, you would account for the weighted average 20 participant savings for this thousand kilowatt hours. 21 So what does the average participant get 22 for the \$44 that they pay, and then what is the net 23 impact on their bill. And that is how I have seen bill 24 impact analysis done traditionally. What we're doing

here is essentially a rate impact analysis multiplied by

25

1 | 1,000.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 PAULA GOLD-WILLIAMS: Yeah. (Inaudible) how the relationship would be, that would be helpful. 3 But I believe you're exactly right, it's bill impact and 4 it's not isolating participants from nonparticipants, 5 right? Because depending on what program you get to, 6 7 we're not parsing out the individual bill impact based upon the program that they are participating in, this is 8 9 more to your point, the big pool of money.

So you got a little bit of the people who are participating, and then you got a lot people who are not. So it's truly blended. But if you would send the calculation that you're talking about, then we will look into that.

KATHY GARCIA: Cyrus, you had a quick point in there about 12:30. I don't know if we got to your point or not.

CYRUS REED: Yeah. I was more -- my question, maybe it's more of a question was, so you guys are asking about these RFPs and the timing on it, and I don't really see an objection to going forward with February along the lines we talked about. I don't know if Greg or Adam have a different opinion.

But my larger question is, so we do this RFP now, we do one later on new technologies, and then

is the intention that you would come back to City Council towards the end of the year with more of a 10-year program, or you would say, based on what we got back, here's kind of our three -- because the original STEP was sort of a ten-year program with a big goal attached to it. And I'm asking where is your thinking? Is your thinking we do a shorter term program for a few years, see how it goes, or are we looking for another big kind of ten-year, 2030 look forward?

PAULA GOLD-WILLIAMS: Let me jump in (inaudible) already on, and then the team can correct me. We're already in a bridge period. So we call it Flex Bridge. We had hoped that we were setting up our updated ten-year program, but then COVID happened. And what really -- as we worked through this, we were in a one-year bridge in 2020, then we couldn't do some of the programs because of the interactions and the challenges of trying to make sure that our customers are safe and our employees are safe.

So as Drew was indicating, we are not going to hit the timing targets for the original bridge. And so the team extended the bridge so that we can have time to develop that ten-year program.

I think it would be great if we could be going back to the Council by the end of year, but we're

also playing catch up. So even if we go back, right,
then we'll have to show how much we were able to catch
up on the bridge, and then show them the time to rebuild
that we can potentially do and as we get started for
fiscal 2022.

But we haven't finalized the time line yet. The big point is trying to get the RFP issued globally. We think there's probably a ton of opportunity for a local -- and you know, a company that's state level. It's not that we don't want to have them in there, but we also think that when we go global, it actually increases the interest across the city and across the state.

So maybe our big unknown is what kind of responses do we get? Do we see, you know, the different technologies that we want some discussion to do highlighted. And so, we're hoping it goes well and -- but we haven't finished the exact time line because we wanted to get out there and get this RFP in there.

It could be the end of the year, could be the beginning of next year. It's depending on what we get and how hard it is to work through this.

CYRUS REED: The intention still is to look for a longer-term goal?

PAULA GOLD-WILLIAMS: Yes, that is.

GREG HARMAN: I know this is in your criteria as well and how you're scoring criteria, but obviously, there's going to be times where it's a nonlocal program or technology that comes in and has this massive jobs creating potential and we didn't realize everything we wanted with OCI, but that's maybe one case of looking -- the benefit of throwing out the big net like you're doing. So it's more of a comment than a question.

But I am still interested in -- we've got a few minutes left. Adam or Cyrus, if you guys have things that you definitely want to walk away with from this meeting, or others on the call with CPS, but I just have more of a curiosity in terms of I know one of the reasons I heard for the delay on this first RFP was to create or allow for more synergy with BundleFlex, and I'm interested maybe to hear just a bit more about that and how they can play into each other. And the priorities that y'all have been talking about.

PAULA GOLD-WILLIAMS: I'm going to jump in here again. You know, we can also have a conversation with Cris, (inaudible) and team here leading the energy efficiency and conservation piece and Cris is leading the large scale program.

But I think Rick is correct, that we are

not looking to take solar out of the STEP program. We think it's critical. But I think what we want to do in the utility scale, primarily utility scale, but it doesn't have to be. In the bigger FlexPOWER Bundle RFP, we are interested in continuing to all types of things that might actually also supplement and augment what we're doing through the STEP program.

So maybe there's a lot more community solar in there, smaller scale projects. What we're looking for, we would love to put more solar across San Antonio. Doesn't have to be the biggest farms at all, but it just has to be a good strategy.

So those might really be appealing for organizations that do smaller type projects, but they have a great strategy, they have the access to land and the optimization component. So wherever we can learn between the two RFPs and create more value, that's what we want to do. But it doesn't come out of the STEP program, it's just more where can we create some synergy that gives us more impact on the solar footprint for San Antonio and Texas.

RUDY GARZA: Yeah. I'll just add to what Paula said, Greg. Our community solar programs have all been oversubscribed. Every time we do a community solar program, those -- that infrastructure gets bought up,

and it's been a way for us to attract inclusion from the low-income community where it's been a little bit more challenging with the roof rental program to kind of get off the ground.

You know, the -- like our Big Sun program was extremely successful. And we're looking to see how we can further that along. Because that's solar we could put in the footprint of the City of San Antonio, and maybe move San Antonio up the list of solar cities across the country which our community has gotten a lot of pride from. The mayor loves it, our board loves the fact that we've been able to accomplish that.

So I think again, community solar is an opportunity for us to expand the solar interest in San Antonio because we've got a lot of land and we're growing in every direction, unlike Austin that has started to get landlocked, getting a little tougher to find real estate. We don't really have that problem right now.

GREG HARMAN: Just a short commentary.

But I really appreciate the community solar programs generally. And I think this kind of rent a rooftop type things are -- they're in my neighborhood, I really, people take a lot of pride in that, I think. But this has kind of that cachet of a status thing or whatever,

it's always lived up on the north side.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But I don't know if y'all remember, but Larry Zen that was chief of staff with Harberger back in the day, and I think early on there was -- he often shared a vision he called the Little Red Schoolhouse model, in which he imagined these abandoned schoolhouses around the city are converted into kind of multiuse sustainability centers, where you could have gardening and gardening programs, you could have solar on these centers and solar training going on, you could have -and now we're moving into microgrids, you could have areas -- or even around this idea of the nodes, around 13 nodes of the city, can identify neighborhoods that where potentially if this was of interest where this is based, that you've got centers for security from national disasters or unnatural disaster as well, we can talk about climate change.

But that's where I think about these overlaying interests that may come out of STEP that may be part of Bundle, that may be part of a City of San Antonio initiative on its own. But I remember that when he used to share that, that was really exciting and then it gave you centers for not only that are like security, that I think fit within our sustainability program as a city, but then also a way of defusing and moving out

| solar and related kind of low carbon values.

RUDY GARZA: Paula, you're on mute.

PAULA GOLD-WILLIAMS: Yeah. You know, Greg, yeah, I remembered Larry and he was very creative and still is. So that's an interesting thought. I think we're trying to figure out what's available and we're trying not to conceptualize the projects. But we love the creativity that can come through this. And maybe again, just continue discussions or if you want to kind of give us a list of different things that you think are creative that you want to plant in our mind to be more aware of. And thinking about from that same point, however you'd like to help us kind of keep those type of things top of mind, that would be great.

RUDY GARZA: All right. Anything else we want to talk about? I know we're getting up to our time here. Kathy, we'll turn it over back to you.

KATHY GARCIA: I was just looking over the list that Cyrus and Greg had provided at the beginning to see what else.

ADAM JACOBS: Yeah. I just was going to note that in the chat I did throw in an example of these nonparticipant studies, which again, giving to the point, Paula, that you raised, the number of customers who were not participating just yet, is a really great

way to go out and actually study and identify those barriers and try and get more people in the door to participate in some way.

chat here at some of the evaluation reports, and I think you might already have some of the data in there to give us an example of how we might show what the average participant of your home energy program saved on average, and maybe apply something like that against what costs would be, just as an example. But I do understand that you need to look at it across different customer classes and different ways they might participate, so I'll just take that on as an action item to take a look at how might we conduct that analysis so that you could, say, yeah, the average participant in the home efficiency program pays in this much, but gets this much benefit out of the program in terms of savings and reduced utility charges.

GREG HARMAN: Yet, I think we have kind of our job on our side to kind of follow-up with a bit of what Adam put into the chat. Take this, develop it more, and come back.

That would be fair.

PAULA GOLD-WILLIAMS:

Paula, to your comment about just broader program ideas that we can kind of follow-up with, we're

obviously approaching -- we're on that cusp of issuing the RFP, I wonder if between this meeting and whenever the next meeting, if there was a point person, maybe I don't know if it's Rick or Rudy or Drew, that we say, here, we had this conversation about this, where is it in the RFP like we talked about early in the call?

Like, sharing general kind of language or goals so we know where to hit the next meeting. Maybe that will be our last before this is issued. I can't tell based upon this conversation. But it feels like we're coming up fast.

PAULA GOLD-WILLIAMS: I think we're coming up fast, so I think all of the stuff we talked about that today that we're -- we hear you, the whole thing about don't be so restrictive that people do sculpt their proposals based upon your target. So that was really the point. And our ability to say we would love more for the value that we have out there, the most effective programs.

We want, you know, to see some new technology that might not have the best return immediately, but we think in the long run will be really popular with customers. So there's an interest inside of that, but it's a balance.

So the things that you have told us so

far, including the one that you gave us today about don't narrow our ask, to be so restrictive that they're sculpting their response from that standpoint, we can address that.

But help me -- you know, the measure thing that we talked about, about what's the real impact that's not going to stop the RFP? What other kinds of things are you-all thinking of that you want to see -- to have us check to see if we have them included that we didn't talk about today? Because we'll take everything you gave us today. What other kind of stuff?

ADAM JACOBS: I think Cyrus just threw it into the chat, but the earlier point, a heat pump certainly would be a good one. I'm sitting here in Vermont where it's frigid cold and I really wish we could make heat pumps work here, but you-all are in a great climate for them, given the lower heating loads. So I would suggest taking a look at those.

CYRUS REED: And I don't know if those would go in your initial RFP or new technology. That's kid of up to you guys. But I think you should look at it.

PAULA GOLD-WILLIAMS: Can I -- to try to be helpful. From a technology standpoint, the RFP is unrestricted. So it actually says anything -- like tell

```
1
     us what we -- you know, this is what we have, these are
 2
     some major objectives that we really want to sustain.
     But if you've got any kind of creativity that we don't
 3
     know about or are not using, I mean, we accept it.
 4
                    So we are not narrowing them to the list
 5
     of items that we give them. Is that helpful? We can
 6
     say including heat pumps, but at the same time, there's
 7
     something that we don't even know about. We want them
 8
     to put it in there. So there's no restriction.
 9
10
                    Now, when we get them all, we'll have to
11
     look at them and make sure that we have a great suite of
12
     diversified products, right? And for the funding that
13
     we can get, we'll try to squeeze in as much as we can.
14
     But from the overall submission, we are not restricting.
15
                    GREG HARMAN: What's the date of release
     or estimated date of release?
16
17
                    PAULA GOLD-WILLIAMS:
                                          Another week, is
18
     that it?
               Is that all we have is about another week
19
     left, gentlemen?
20
                    RUDY GARZA:
                                 Yeah.
                                        We've got -- really,
21
     it doesn't have to go out tomorrow, but we've probably
22
     got a couple of weeks to take a look at the document and
23
     get it out and still kind of be able to keep the
24
     programs going. More than anything, the bridge to the
```

FlexPOWER Bundle and STEP Bridge, really is about

25

keeping value and programs going so customers can continue to benefit from them. So yes, I think we probably got until -- Paula, maybe mid-February, so couple more weeks.

PAULA GOLD-WILLIAMS: The challenge I want to say is that that's for the English version. Then we need time to get the translation done. So I wouldn't want to go much past the 12th. Be ready by that time, Monday, to start getting the press release out there. So that gives us time if you-all think of anything else you what to have us check and make sure that we're being broad enough, that would be helpful. But there aren't restrictions. Will not be restrictions.

RICK LUNA: I do want to add that it is also one of two, right? So we want to get the tried and true out, and then if we miss something, we still have another chance with the new and innovative to capture those in that RFP. It's so not all or nothing in February.

RUDY GARZA: Right.

CYRUS REED: I don't think our intention is to hold you guys up. We all know, in a bureaucracy we're all in bureaucracies, us less than you guys, you've got certain -- satisfy legal and there's --

you've got to get probably some input from your board, so we understand that. We're not looking to hold it up if you're including those concepts and you're making sure -- you're not setting maximums and minimums, I think that's really helpful.

PAULA GOLD-WILLIAMS: I want to thank you for all -- I want to thank you for being willing to sit down with us again and taking this approach. I think I've been telling my board that I have been encouraged by our ability for us to talk about some things and, you know, get ideas from you and so, thank you.

GREG HARMAN: I'll follow-up and say thanks for your time, potentially opening a larger table than a recommendation and I just need to have another meeting and maybe come back with a proposal. But we've got homework to bring to y'all this next week and look forward to conversations about the next RFP. So thanks for your time.

KATHY GARCIA: I think you-all asked for point of contact where to send the items you're going to put together. Team, do we agree that Drew and Rick are the right points of contact for that? And they'll keep this team apprized of when they receive and what they receive, and then we'll take it from there?

RUDY GARZA: Yes.

RICK LUNA: Absolutely.

PAULA GOLD-WILLIAMS: I know that we're out of time, I know that was an interesting put-on here, Greg, I think you have been following this closely. We are -- we have prepared what we think is a helpful flexible path resource plan. Just as you-all -- if I do acknowledge, you-all have asked for information and you've asked for a resource plan, not you, maybe you-all fit in multiple people. So it's been a broad ask. We have only to this point finally been able to kind of pull it all together.

We've also been asked over and over again about our estimates broadly of bill impact. And Adam, to your point, it's not -- it's just straight forward bill impact and pricing. With what you've been seeing from my presentations have been averages over a period of time and here you'll be able to see what we think the estimation -- estimated bill impact by year would be, the curves associated with that.

But also -- and you'll see the financial models that come out to generate those dollars. And then there's a ton of information on the front that looks at various assumptions, approaches, and curves of different things that we utilize to get to this place where we have a base case that looks as if at -- here we

are, we continue to potentially operate.

It doesn't mean that it doesn't look at technology as advanced as those just says, here we are, we continue to operate. And then the other models look at what could you do if you tried to go completely solar and battery.

And another one is -- and focus as a coal unit. And then quite frankly, with what would happen if you closed one and somewhere battery and if you potentially closed the other by converting it to gas.

And those are the kinds of questions that we've gotten from multiple people, including the environment -- a lot of other people across San Antonio. So we want to share it from a management perspective.

But we were right up to the wire for the meeting, I was determined to end the fiscal year with being able to do that.

And the board looked at it, it's a lot of information. And they wanted the opportunity to look it over and be familiar, which I think was a really good ask, and that's what they're doing right now. So there will be a point of topic in February. I can't tell you what direction the board's going to go, but I think management has tried go set to pull something together that a lot of people could have good conversations with.

And in dialogue about what's the direction for San Antonio, what makes sense.

Again, CPS Energy isn't here telling you that there is no way that changes can't be made to our current -- you know, set of assets and portfolios, even associated with coal. But what we are tell you is, for us, we're operators. And so, we have to, in fact, kind of think about how do we take the whole community through it. Make sure they're comfortable. We've seen big infrastructure projects and people don't spend a lot of time getting that out as broadly as they can. And then later on, people are surprised at what's happening and why it's happening.

So we want -- and I'm also launching a big public dialogue. We want to get other committees involved, the new RAC and the new CAC, and obviously there'll be discussions in front of the board and what the board and public. As well as we'll be presenting at the Council.

So it's going to be a big blitz and if you-all want to join in any of that or set up a session later for us to go over it with you once it's out there, we'd be glad to do that and then get your thoughts on that. Similar to the way we proceeded. But it's a big thing. So it will take some effort for us to get all

1	those meeting scheduled. Is that helpful, Greg? I know		
2	you've been very curious.		
3	ADAM JACOBS: Greg actually just had to		
4	drop, he had some childcare responsibilities. But I can		
5	pass along the message.		
6	PAULA GOLD-WILLIAMS: All right. My big		
7	explanation, lost him. Tell him I'm sorry.		
8	CYRUS REED: I think Greg's in the		
9	strange position of having a child in college and a		
10	baby. So		
11	PAULA GOLD-WILLIAMS: You have that?		
12	CYRUS REED: No. Greg does. You know,		
13	he has a newer family and then has a I think a daughter		
14	in grad school or something. I have three kids but they		
15	are from 13 to 23. So a little closer together.		
16	PAULA GOLD-WILLIAMS: Good hour, good for		
17	everybody. Very good. So thanks Adam and Cyrus for		
18	your help.		
19	CYRUS REED: Thank you.		
20	(End of Meeting)		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

COUNTY OF FORT BEND) 1 2. STATE OF TEXAS 3 I, Cindi L. Bench, the undersigned Certified 4 Shorthand Reporter, in and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify that the transcribed meeting is a true 6 7 record to the best of my ability; 8 I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, related to, nor employed by any parties to the action in which this meeting was transcribed and, 10 11 further, that I am not a relative or employee of any 12 person employed by the parties hereto or financially 13 interested in the action. hand and SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO under 14 seal of 15 office on this the _____ 16 17 18 Cindi L. Bench, CSR Texas CSR 752 19 Expiration: 6/30/21 Kim Tindall & Associates 20 16414 San Pedro Avenue, # 900 San Antonio, Texas 78232 21 866.806.8265 Firm Registration No. 631 22 23 24 25

\$	- account 18:5 25:20 29:19	ANDREW 19:4
Ψ	_ accountable 19:11	annual 22:10 29:12,13,18
\$44 26:12 29:22	accounted 23:7	anticipate 28:25
\$44.28 29:14	accounting 26:14,24 27:4	anticipated 14:12
	_ accounts 22:22	Antonio 34:11,21 35:8,9,15 36:21
1	_ achievable 9:5	45:13
1,000 30:1	achieve 9:4	appealing 34:13
10 13:13	achieved 18:14	apply 38:9
10-year 31:3	achievements 19:16	apprized 43:23
12 11:1	achieving 18:18	approach 10:21 12:4 13:19 43:8
12:30 30:16	acknowledge 44:7	approaches 13:21 44:23
12th 42:8	act 19:17,23	approaching 39:1
13 36:13	action 38:13	area 8:19
	actual 15:12	areas 8:8 14:18 36:12
2	Adam 7:15,17 17:25 20:21 21:21, 23 22:7 23:9 24:17 25:23 26:8,21 27:9 28:15 29:6,7 30:23 33:11 37:21 38:22 40:12 44:13	assumptions 15:5,7 16:6 44:23
0040 00 44		attached 31:6
2019 22:11		attract 35:1
2020 31:16	add 19:4,12 25:25 34:22 42:15	audit 27:17
2022 32:5	adding 21:12 26:5	auditor 10:10
2030 31:9	addition 25:6	augment 34:6
4	additional 26:19	Austin 10:18 13:11,14,24 14:5 24:15 35:16
	address 40:4	authorization 26:3
4 22:18	adjust 18:1	
9	administrator 22:12	average 25:4,12,21 29:19,21 38:7, 9,15
	advance 15:15	averages 44:16
900 14:13	advanced 45:3	avoid 28:6,11
Α	advocates 17:12	avoided 22:14
	aggressive 25:9	award-winning 20:9
abandoned 36:6	agree 11:11 43:21	aware 37:12
ability 23:12 26:19 29:8 39:17 43:10	agreeing 23:24	В
Absolutely 17:2 44:1	agreements 15:17	
accelerate 18:17	ahead 13:16 18:15,22	back 12:15 13:12 17:4,5 20:22,23 21:19 24:18 31:1,4,25 32:1 36:3 37:17 38:23 43:15
accept 16:23 41:4	air 9:18 10:25 12:5 18:3	
•	aligned 10:8	balance 39:24
access 28:20 34:15		
access 28:20 34:15 accessible 12:2	amount 19:2	balancing 19:16,23

complement 18:25

complete 11:7

base 44:25 broaden 9:13 change 18:5 24:13 36:17 broader 38:24 **based** 22:23 24:21 30:7 31:3 36:15 charge 25:20 26:3 39:9,16 broadly 44:13 charges 25:1 38:18 **basic** 26:1 buckets 22:24 chart 21:25 22:6 basically 10:21 17:23 18:4 **budget** 17:22 18:8,23 19:15,16 **chat** 17:9 37:22 38:5.22 40:13 **battery** 45:6,9 24:22 check 15:20 40:9 42:11 **beds** 14:19 building 13:5 **chief** 36:3 beginning 19:6 32:21 37:20 **bunch** 14:7 **chunk** 14:13 bench 9:2 **Bundle** 13:17 14:14,24 20:2 24:7, **cities** 35:9 14 34:4 36:20 41:25 benchmarking 9:25 23:12 city 31:1 32:12 35:8 36:7,13,20,25 Bundleflex 33:16 **benefit** 7:10 9:15 22:11,12,15,16, **classes** 38:12 bureaucracies 42:24 21,25 26:13 27:23 28:22 29:15 33:7 38:17 42:2 clear 13:23 24:6 bureaucracy 42:23 benefiting 27:1 climate 36:17 40:17 benefits 10:10 22:23 26:25 28:1 C **close** 15:25 **big** 14:2 20:14 30:9 31:5,9 32:7,14 closed 45:9,10 **cachet** 35:25 33:8 35:5 closely 19:8 24:14 44:4 calculation 22:22 26:6,20 29:4 bigger 34:4 closures 16:8 30:13 biggest 34:11 coal 7:2 16:7 28:17 45:7 **call** 7:12 9:10 14:11 15:1 16:12 **bill** 15:5 20:22 24:19 25:5,9,11,17, 17:4 22:6 29:2 31:12 33:13 39:6 coincident 9:8 22:18,22 18 26:1,5,15 27:19 29:12,13,18,23 called 36:5 30:4,7 44:13,15,18 **cold** 40:15 calls 16:4 **billing** 20:12 28:10 collaborating 11:23 campaign 20:5 billion-dollar 28:7 collection 26:9 capacity 22:14,16 23:5 **bills** 9:6 column 28:25 capital 27:19 **bit** 8:5.20 10:20.25 11:11 13:16 comment 20:20 22:1 33:8 38:24 14:15,24 22:21 30:10 33:17 35:2 capture 15:2 42:18 commentary 25:24 35:20 38:21 captured 24:8 commercial 23:10 blended 30:12 carbon 37:1 **common** 8:9,10 **board** 7:8 15:6,7 16:10 35:11 43:1, case 33:7 44:25 9 45:18 **community** 7:8,9 9:6,14,15 12:20 21:17 26:2 27:25 34:8,23,24 35:2, catch 32:1.2 board's 45:23 10,13,21 caution 11:4 **bought** 34:25 company 32:9 centers 36:8,10,15,23 **bridge** 31:12,13,16,21,22 32:3 comparing 22:1 41:24,25 centralized 28:7,10 compete 9:6 **bring** 12:9 14:23 19:20 21:16,24 **CEO's** 7:1 43:16 competed 21:6 challenge 42:5 **bringing** 12:12,23 14:23 28:13 competitive 15:13,21 challenges 31:17

challenging 35:3

chance 8:23 13:12 18:12 42:18

brings 12:15

broad 28:21 42:12 44:9

effectiveness 18:19

completely 45:5 Council 31:2,25 deliver 17:18,19,22 18:12 19:2 demand 18:13 22:18,19 23:1 24:5 **component** 20:22 26:9,17 34:16 counting 25:18 depending 30:6 32:21 components 21:11 **country** 35:10 concept 26:1 couple 41:22 42:4 deserves 22:2 concepts 43:3 covered 7:18 determined 45:16 conceptualize 37:7 **COVID** 31:14 develop 31:23 38:22 concern 15:12 **CP** 9:18,24 22:18 23:2 dialogue 12:18 13:7 16:21 concerned 16:10 **CPS** 33:13 difference 8:19 **create** 26:19 29:4 33:16 34:17,19 concerns 15:14 23:22 difficulties 11:10 conditioning 9:19 12:5 18:4 creating 33:5 direction 35:16 45:23 conditions 12:25 creation 14:20 dis-incented 9:20 conduct 38:14 **creative** 37:4.11 disaster 36:16 conservation 28:13,14 33:23 **creativity** 37:8 41:3 disasters 36:16 consideration 16:20 discuss 7:24 credit 11:22 12:1 considered 12:24 Cris 16:11 28:8 33:22.24 discussion 8:4,5,8,22 21:1,19 26:22 32:16 Consortium 9:11 criteria 14:21 33:2 discussions 37:9 constituent 26:11 critical 34:2 diverse 24:4 contact 10:19 43:20.22 **cue** 21:21 diversified 41:12 context 17:6 22:6 curiosity 33:14 document 15:8,12,18,19 16:2 continue 18:20 19:22 20:9 37:9 **curves** 44:19,23 41:22 42:2 45:1,4 **cusp** 39:1 dollars 44:21 continuing 8:4,8,17,21 34:5 customer 9:5 20:12 24:8,12 25:1, door 16:23 18:7 38:2 continuity 21:4 20 26:10,25 38:12 Drew 31:20 39:4 43:21 contractors 18:1,4 **customers** 11:14 25:12 27:15 31:18 37:24 39:23 42:1 driven 12:22 contracts 21:5 **drivers** 18:16 **Cyrus** 7:18 8:9 11:5 13:11,23 17:9, control 27:24 11 21:13,21 30:15,18 32:23 33:11 dropping 12:14 37:19 40:12,19 42:22 conversation 16:1 28:5,17 33:22 39:5,10 due 13:18 D conversations 7:10 43:17 45:25 Ε conversion 16:7 data 10:1 15:5 38:6 converted 36:7 earlier 22:1,2 40:13 date 7:22 41:15,16 converting 45:10 early 7:2 15:25 28:4,11 29:5 36:4 day 23:19 36:4 39:6 **convey** 26:17 days 8:7 13:18 28:4 easier 12:6 correct 11:6 25:17 31:11 33:25 **decent** 11:19 **effective** 18:24 21:17 39:19 **cost** 7:2 16:7 18:15 19:21 21:17 **decks** 16:6 22:11,12,21 24:21 25:7,19,22 effectively 19:21

defusing 36:25

delay 33:15

26:12,13 27:3 28:21

costs 18:2 26:24 28:13 38:10

give 37:10 38:6 41:6

efficiency 9:11 24:5 33:23 38:16 **expand** 35:14 Flexstep 8:18 18:25 20:1,15 efficient 18:17 expect 7:5 fly 19:10 **electric** 10:7,13 expecting 18:15 focus 45:7 element 12:14 19:24 focused 10:14 experience 25:1 elevating 14:21 explore 12:15 folks 7:12 8:15 11:2 extended 31:22 **email** 8:13 **follow** 15:8 29:2 employees 31:19 **extent** 18:18 **follow-up** 22:2 38:21,25 43:12 footnote 22:10 encourage 27:13 extremely 35:6 footprint 34:20 35:8 encouraged 43:9 F encouragement 28:16 foresight 9:15 end 31:2,25 32:20 45:16 forgive 17:10 fact 9:9 13:20 15:20 23:6,23 25:16 35:12 **ends** 16:21 forward 7:13 8:21 10:4 14:6 20:25 21:1,9 28:13 30:21 31:9 43:17 **factor** 16:25 energy 8:25 9:11,22 13:14,24 14:5 44:14 17:16 18:13 19:2 22:14 23:1,25 fair 15:22 17:1 27:10 38:19 24:5 26:7 27:3 33:23 38:8 **found** 18:1 fairly 25:9 engaging 27:16 frankly 26:21 45:8 familiar 45:20 **friqid** 40:15 English 42:6 **family** 11:3 front 24:15 44:22 ensure 15:17 20:7 21:4 **farms** 34:11 entertaining 18:10 full 25:18 27:4 fast 39:11,13 environment 45:13 fuller 7:7 **February** 30:22 42:20 45:22 **ERCOT** 22:18 funding 24:24 41:12 feedback 8:12 15:3 21:10 **future** 28:9 essentially 29:25 **feel** 9:1,4,16 10:13 **estate** 35:18 **FY** 22:11 feels 39:10 estimated 41:16 44:18 figure 37:6 G estimates 44:13 finalized 32:6 estimation 44:18 game 13:16 **finally** 44:10 **evaluation** 22:9 38:5 **GARCIA** 7:15,19 17:8 30:15 37:18 financial 44:20 43:19 **event** 9:11 financing 11:22 12:5 21:11 27:19 gardening 36:8,9 exact 23:4 32:18 find 9:13 11:17 18:3 25:8 35:18 **GARZA** 12:10 16:16 18:13 19:24 examples 8:16 34:22 37:2,15 41:20 42:21 43:25 finding 9:12 exceed 18:8 25:10 finished 32:18 gas 10:5,8,16 45:10 exceeding 18:22 fiscal 32:5 45:16 qave 36:23 40:1,11 excellent 27:23 fit 36:24 44:9 general 26:11 39:7 excited 7:3,5 Flex 31:13 generally 35:22 exciting 36:22 flexible 44:6 generate 44:21 exercise 27:6 Flexpower 13:17 20:2 24:7 34:4 gentlemen 41:19 existing 7:8 41:25

interested 20:18 33:10,17 34:5

interesting 10:19 12:4 14:23

giving 18:7 37:23 held 19:11 improvement 24:1 inaudible 30:2 31:11 33:22 **global** 32:11 **helped** 23:23 helpful 12:19 30:3 40:24 41:6 incentive 18:4,5 globally 32:8 42:12 43:5 44:5 include 25:19 **goal** 8:11 9:17 12:19 18:9,22 20:6 23:14,17,25 25:11 31:5 32:24 **hey** 16:11 included 24:12 40:9 goals 9:12,14 12:17 18:23 19:7 hiding 10:2 including 13:22 24:4 40:1 41:7 39:7 HIGGINS 19:4 43:3 45:12 **GOLD-WILLIAMS** 25:23 26:18 inclusion 35:1 **high** 16:9,10,11 18:23 27:8 29:3 30:2 31:10 32:25 33:20 37:3 38:19 39:12 40:23 41:17 42:5 income 12:21 higher 16:13 19:2 23:5 43:6 44:2 highlighted 32:17 inconvenient 27:17 **good** 9:2 10:19 13:3 17:3,7 18:10 history 19:5 incorporating 21:9 21:13 28:1 34:12 40:14 45:20,25 hit 19:6,10,14 31:21 39:8 increase 15:5 **great** 8:3 23:25 24:17 26:21 28:1 31:24 34:15 37:14,25 40:17 41:11 **hitting** 19:12 increases 32:12 Greg 7:17 14:10 15:24 17:3 21:23 hold 42:23 43:2 incurring 25:7 28:3 29:5 30:23 33:1 34:23 35:20 holistically 28:5 indicating 31:20 37:4,19 38:20 41:15 43:12 44:4 home 11:7 27:17 38:8,16 **individual** 18:2 30:7 grid 14:16 homework 43:16 infiltration 10:25 ground 8:9,11 35:4 hope 7:6 15:9 18:20 **information** 10:17 15:6,9 44:7,22 **group** 21:20 45:19 hoped 31:13 growing 35:16 infrastructure 34:25 hoping 17:13 21:15 32:17 guess 16:5,16 22:7 24:5,9 26:8 **initial** 40:20 hour 8:11 23:24 guidance 15:8 initiative 12:3 36:21 hours 19:18 21:12 24:21 29:20 guys 30:19 33:11 40:21 42:23,24 innovative 12:22 42:18 hundred 18:14 input 16:22 43:1 Н ı inside 39:23 half 17:20 instance 9:2 idea 12:15 36:12 happen 45:8 insulation 11:18 ideas 13:6 38:25 43:11 happened 31:14 integrate 14:13 identified 8:7 Harberger 36:3 integrated 14:18 identify 27:15 36:13 38:1 hard 32:22 integrates 14:12 imagine 22:17 HARMAN 14:10 15:24 17:3 21:23 intended 24:8 28:3 29:5 33:1 35:20 38:20 41:15 imagined 36:6 **intensive** 11:2,19 43:12 immediately 39:22 head 19:15 intention 21:14 27:2 31:1 32:23 **impact** 9:5,14 25:5,9,11,17 26:1,6, 42:22 hear 33:17 39:14 15 29:12,13,18,23,24,25 30:4,7 interactions 31:17 34:20 40:6 44:13,15,18 heard 33:15 interest 32:12 35:14 36:14 39:23 impacts 20:22 24:20 25:18 heat 18:3 40:13,16 41:7

important 9:7 13:7 25:15 28:12

heating 40:17

21:24 37:5 44:3

interests 36:19

international 20:4

investing 27:18

isolating 30:5

issue 20:3

issued 32:7 39:9

issuing 39:1

item 38:13

items 7:11,23 8:6 41:6 43:20

J

JACOBS 7:17 17:25 20:21 21:21 22:7 23:9 24:17 26:8,21 27:9 29:7 37:21 40:12

iob 14:20 38:21

jobs 33:5

journalists 17:4

judged 10:3

jump 31:10 33:20

jurisdictions 27:14

Κ

Kathy 7:15,19 8:1 17:8 30:15 37:17,18 43:19

keeping 42:1

key 16:9

kid 40:21

kilowatt 8:11 19:18 21:12 23:24

24:21 29:20

kind 7:8 9:16 13:7 14:11,15,19,23 16:23 17:13 18:6 19:10 20:15 22:20 23:20 31:4,9 32:14 35:3,22, 25 36:7 37:1,10,13 38:20,21,25 39:7 40:11 41:3,23 44:10

kinds 40:7 45:11

KW 19:18

L

laborious 8:24

land 34:15 35:15

landlocked 35:17

landscape 16:4

language 16:17,18 25:17 39:7

languages 20:3

large 21:5 33:24

largely 23:23 24:25 26:22

larger 30:24 43:13

Larry 36:3 37:4

lead 21:5

leadership 19:9

leading 33:23,24

learn 34:16

learned 8:16

leave 7:22 16:23

leaving 18:7

left 33:11 41:19

legacy 10:12

legal 42:25

letter 8:7 25:3

level 16:9,10,11,13 18:23 20:10

28:14 32:10

levels 24:24

light 10:23 11:9

lighter 11:11

lighting 9:21

lightly 8:22

likewise 11:21

limiting 17:24

lines 30:22

list 35:9 37:10.19 41:5

lived 16:4 36:1

load 22:23

loads 40:17

local 13:11 14:7 32:9

located 13:14

long 39:22

longer-term 32:24

looked 8:12 14:5 45:18

lot 7:4 9:9,13,18,19 11:13,17 13:21 14:17 21:5 23:22 27:18 28:4 30:11 34:8 35:10,15,24 45:13,18,25

love 34:10 37:8 39:18

loves 35:11

low 12:21 37:1

low-income 35:2

lower 18:15 40:17

luck 9:15

LUNA 7:25 8:3 11:15 13:9 15:2

17:2 19:14 20:19,24 22:20 24:11

42:15 44:1

M

macro 18:6

made 19:13 22:2

major 41:2

make 7:22 12:6 16:19 17:23 19:7,

9,10,19 20:17 25:16 26:1 27:24 31:18 40:16 41:11 42:11

makes 26:17

making 28:15 43:3

man 26:12

manage 19:15

management 45:14,24

manner 16:22

mark 9:2

market 12:8,15 13:1 15:15 20:17

21:16

marketing 20:4

massive 33:5

material 17:6

math 28:18

maximize 19:15

23 29:3 30:2 31:10 32:25 33:20 34:23 37:2,3,24 38:19,24 39:12

maximums 43:4 **operate** 45:1,4 Ν mayor 35:11 operational 10:9,11 operationally 10:8 measure 9:14 25:25 40:5 narrow 40:2 measures 11:1 opinion 30:23 narrowing 41:5 meet 12:17.20 opportunistic 13:5 national 36:16 meeting 8:5,13 10:6 15:6 16:9 opportunities 12:13 NCP 9:16 23:5 24:19 33:13 39:2,3,8 43:15 45:15 opportunity 13:2 18:8,11 24:3 necessarily 23:15,20 27:4,12 32:9 35:14 45:19 megawatts 13:14 14:14 18:14 negative 25:11 23:15,18 optimization 34:16 negotiated 21:7 members 7:9 27:25 optimize 24:3 neighborhood 35:23 mention 17:8 ordinance 26:3 neighborhoods 36:13 meter 24:12,15,16 organizations 34:14 net 22:14 29:22 33:8 microgrid 14:16 original 31:4,21 **nodes** 36:12,13 microgrids 36:11 outlined 7:23 non-coincident 9:9 17:17 22:5,23 mid-february 42:3 outlines 22:11 nonlocal 33:4 mind 37:11,14 overlaying 36:19 nonparticipant 27:21 29:14 37:23 minimum 17:15,16 18:12 21:12 oversell 11:5,6 nonparticipants 27:10,14 30:5 minimums 43:4 oversubscribed 34:24 normal 24:5 minutes 14:25 33:11 owned 24:12 **north** 36:1 model 10:19 36:6 owner 11:10 **note** 16:15 17:25 22:9 27:9 28:15 models 44:21 45:4 37:22 Ρ momentum 20:10 **notes** 17:10 Monday 7:5 15:7 42:9 number 23:20 37:24 pace 19:6 money 17:22 19:3,19 20:11 26:4, numbers 12:3 28:20 parsing 30:7 14 27:3 30:9 part 20:8 26:22 36:20 monitoring 19:8 0 participant 25:4,6,21 26:5 29:20, month 7:6 21 38:8.15 objection 30:21 **months** 13:12 participants 30:5 objectives 41:2 move 35:9 participate 25:2 38:3,13 occur 23:15 moving 7:14 21:8 36:11,25 participating 30:8,11 37:25 occurring 23:18 multi-family 10:22 11:8,10,14 partnered 11:23 12:14 **OCI** 33:6 partnering 14:19 multiple 44:9 45:12 off-peak 22:25 partnership 11:24 multiplied 29:25 on-peak 22:25 past 19:13 42:8 multiuse 36:7 on-site 24:7,12 path 20:25 44:6 mute 7:25 37:2 one-year 31:16 **Paula** 10:5 25:23 26:18 27:8 28:8,

open 10:6 13:5 16:23 18:7

opening 43:13

purchase 12:5 40:23 41:17 42:3,5 43:6 44:2 power 24:14 28:7 **PR** 20:4 **pause** 15:21 put 9:11 20:15,25 21:1,14,18 24:7 27:24 34:10 35:8 38:22 41:9 43:21 pay 29:22 prepared 44:5 put-on 44:3 paying 25:20 27:7,11,22 present 22:14 putting 12:8 28:24 pays 25:1 29:14 38:16 presentations 28:9 44:16 **puzzle** 12:12 peak 9:8 17:17 22:5,18 23:7 press 20:3 42:9 peak-related 18:9 pretty 11:18 12:2 25:15 Q **people** 7:5 12:2 17:18,19 27:1,6 **price** 18:5 30:10,11 35:24 38:2 39:15 44:9 qualify 12:1 **pricing** 44:15 45:12,13,25 question 15:23 17:9,12 22:8 24:9 pride 35:11,24 percent 9:1 17:20 18:9 25:10 30:19.24 33:9 primarily 34:3 performance 22:10 questions 25:3 45:11 priorities 33:19 period 31:12 44:16 quick 7:21 16:5 30:15 problem 35:18 person 39:3 quickly 15:4 process 15:22 16:2 20:1,2 perspective 8:6 9:23 10:12 45:14 quietly 20:15 products 41:12 **phase** 23:13 **quote** 17:5 **program** 10:7,8,16,18 11:7,8,11, phrased 16:19 14 12:12,16 18:8,21,25 19:1,5,6 R phrases 16:9 20:7,9,12 22:12 23:3,13 24:9,24 25:2,6 26:4,23 27:1,7,11,23 28:1,5, phrasing 16:25 raised 37:24 14 29:16 30:6,8 31:3,5,7,14,23 33:4,24 34:1,7,19,25 35:3,5 36:24 **piece** 13:22 33:23 random 23:19 38:8,16,17,25 place 17:7 21:7 44:24 range 24:4 **programs** 12:19,23 14:6 17:15,19 plan 44:6,8 rate 29:25 18:19 21:4 22:2,3 23:4,11 25:9 27:16 31:17 34:23 35:21 36:9 **plans** 24:13 ratio 22:12.13 39:19 41:24 42:1 plant 23:18 28:7 37:11 ratios 22:12 projects 18:3 34:9,14 37:7 **play** 33:18 reach 11:13 17:16 promise 16:3 playing 32:1 read 8:23 17:5 24:14 proposal 16:18 20:25 43:15 **point** 8:24 9:2 10:9,22 12:11 18:10 readjust 19:10 19:1 21:13 24:13,18 26:5,25 30:9, proposals 39:16 **ready** 42:8 16,17 32:7 37:13,24 39:3,17 40:13 proposed 24:22 43:20 44:10.14 45:22 real 7:21 35:18 40:6 **provide** 7:21 16:22 **points** 43:22 realize 33:6 provided 37:19 policy 13:1 reason 28:6 **public** 15:19 28:19 **pool** 26:4 30:9 reasonable 20:12 publicized 15:15 popular 39:23 reasons 11:16,17 25:2 33:15 publicly 10:3 portfolio 9:3 24:3 rebuild 32:3 **pull** 23:9 44:11 45:24 position 9:22 **recap** 7:21 pulled 24:6 potential 33:5 receive 43:23,24 **pump** 18:3 40:13 potentially 32:4 36:14 43:13 45:1, receiving 27:23 29:15

pumps 40:16 41:7

10

showing 29:13

side-by-side 26:10

single-family 11:20

similarities 13:21

single 11:3

side 12:21 23:1 27:3 36:1 38:21

recognition 27:5 restricting 41:14 scenario 22:4 recommend 21:8 restriction 41:9 Schoolhouse 36:5 recommendation 43:14 schoolhouses 36:6 restrictions 42:13,14 **Red** 36:5 restrictive 39:15 40:2 score 12:1 23:6 **reduce** 23:17 results 10:4 14:8.9 21:15 scoring 33:2 retire 7:2 reduced 38:18 screen 8:1 21:25 29:9,10 reduction 10:7 23:25 return 18:21 39:21 **sculpt** 39:16 sculpting 40:3 **REED** 11:5 13:23 17:11 30:18 reviewing 15:7 22:8 32:23 40:19 42:22 revisit 16:3 **security** 36:15,23 reflect 23:20 **RFP** 12:8,13,14,22 13:10,17 15:11 **seize** 20:18 reflected 15:18 23:1 16:22 17:1,14 20:1 21:3 30:25 selected 21:15 32:7,19 33:15 34:4 39:2,6 40:7,20, reinforce 13:8 selection 14:21 24 42:19 43:17 reiterate 12:11 **RFPS** 13:5,24 30:20 34:17 **sell** 19:18 related 37:1 **Rick** 7:20,25 8:3 11:15 12:10 13:9 send 11:25 16:18 30:12 43:20 relationship 30:3 14:14 15:2,24 17:1,2 19:7,11,12,14 sending 8:13 20:19,21,24 22:20 24:10,11 33:25 relative 8:25 39:4 42:15 43:21 44:1 sense 16:19 26:17 release 15:9 20:3 41:15.16 42:9 road 15:23 separate 10:16 28:25 released 15:18 **rolls** 7:10 **serve** 13:1 releasing 21:3 roof 35:3 service 13:15 remember 36:2,21 rooftop 14:13 35:22 serving 27:25 remembered 37:4 **Rudy** 12:10 13:9 16:16 18:13 set 14:10 45:24 19:14,24 34:22 37:2,15 39:4 41:20 reminds 28:3 setting 31:13 43:4 42:21 43:25 rent 35:22 **shape** 11:19 22:23 run 15:22 39:22 rental 35:3 **share** 8:6,15 9:23 17:5 21:25 22:5 running 14:5 report 7:1,3,11 9:24 29:8 36:22 45:14 reported 14:8 **shared** 16:2 21:2 36:5 S reporting 23:11 **sharing** 15:14 22:1 39:7 safe 31:18.19 reports 9:25 22:9 38:5 **short** 35:20 sales 25:10 shorter 31:7 representative 24:25 **San** 34:11,20 35:8,9,14 36:20 request 14:25 16:14 **show** 32:2.3 38:7 45:13 requests 9:7 **showed** 24:24 satisfy 42:25

save 9:21 25:12 27:2,3

savings 9:1 17:16,17 18:15 19:2

scale 8:18 13:25 14:3 33:24 34:3,9

25:4,21 26:7 29:20 38:17

saving 25:6 26:15

saved 38:8

require 11:19

respect 15:11

resource 44:6,8

resources 13:13 14:1

responses 13:17 32:15

response 16:5 20:18 24:5 40:3

talking 25:15 30:13 33:19

targets 19:11 21:12 31:21

technologies 30:25 32:16

39:21 40:20,24 45:3

technology 12:22 14:16 33:4

team 31:11,22 33:22 43:21,23

target 9:1 27:22 39:16

targeting 14:18

technical 8:14

telling 43:9

ten 14:25 20:3

sit 43:7 stone 13:4 20:6 sitting 40:14 **stop** 40:7 **size** 8:18 26:23 storage 13:22 14:7,16 slide 16:6 20:24 24:19 straight 44:14 slightly 16:13 **strategy** 34:12,15 **small** 12:3 strictly 9:18 smaller 14:1 34:9.14 so-called 28:13 **solar** 13:11,13 14:6,7,12,13 24:6,8, 12 34:1,9,10,20,23,24 35:7,9,13, 14.21 36:9.10 37:1 45:5 solicitation 15:13 **solutions** 21:17 24:4.16 sort 8:10 19:20 31:5 sounded 28:23 sounds 17:3,4 **speak** 28:8 speaking 14:15 **speaks** 18:19 **specific** 16:17 18:2 specifically 27:22 **spend** 20:11 spending 25:13 **spirit** 13:10 **splash** 20:14 squeeze 41:13 **staff** 36:3 standpoint 20:13 40:3,24 start 22:8 42:9 started 32:4 35:17 starting 9:2 11:13 state 32:10,13

status 35:25

step 10:6,13 14:12,24 19:1 20:9

34:1,7,18 36:19 41:25

stay 10:15

stick 18:23

studies 27:21 37:23 **study** 38:1 **stuff** 39:13 40:11 submission 41:14 subsequent 24:23 substitute 26:6 successful 12:20 19:22,23 35:6 suffer 22:3 suggest 16:17,24 40:18 suggesting 22:3 29:17 suggestion 16:1 suite 41:11 Sun 35:5 supplement 34:6 support 19:9 surcharge 26:17 surrounding 17:6 sustain 41:2 sustainability 36:8,24 **swoop** 14:7 synergy 33:16 34:19 system 25:20 Т table 43:13 takes 21:6 taking 22:13 40:18 43:8 talk 8:18,20 11:21 13:10 16:12 26:5 29:1 36:17 37:16 40:10 43:10 21:3 23:13 24:11,22 28:4 29:6 31:5 talked 10:5,20 19:25 21:10 30:22

39:6,13 40:6

ten-year 31:5,9,14,23 term 31:7 terms 12:9,16 15:21 16:14 25:10 33:14 38:17 territory 13:15 test 14:19 **Texas** 34:21 thermostats 10:25 thing 13:3 20:1 26:7 27:12 35:25 39:15 40:6 **things** 7:21 8:24 9:17,20,21 27:20 33:12 34:5 35:23 37:10,14 39:25 40:8 43:10 44:24 thinking 16:14 31:6,7 37:12 40:8 third-party 11:22 thought 9:9 21:24 37:5 thoughts 8:15 thousand 24:21 29:20 threw 40:12 throw 37:22 throwing 33:7 **thumbs** 8:2 **time** 7:23 8:14 14:1,4,11 18:16,17, 22 21:6 22:24 23:16 28:9 31:23 32:3,6,18 34:24 37:16 41:7 42:7,9, 10 43:13,18 44:3,17 times 11:18 23:19 33:3 timing 12:25 30:20 31:21

today 11:3 12:11 39:14 40:1,10,11 utility 13:25 14:2 25:10 34:3 38:18 Υ told 39:25 utilize 44:24 tomorrow 41:21 y'all 33:19 36:2 43:16 ٧ ton 32:8 44:22 year 26:12 29:15 31:2,25 32:20,21 44:18 45:16 top 7:11 23:14 37:14 valuable 27:6 yearly 14:2 topic 9:8,12 45:22 values 9:24 10:2 37:1 **years** 31:8 **topics** 8:10 vendor 21:15 yesterday 9:10 touch 8:4,22 11:9 vendors 19:17 21:7 you-all 40:8,16 42:10 43:19 44:6,7, tougher 35:17 Vermont 40:15 town 14:18 version 42:6 traditionally 24:11 29:24 Ζ versus 9:8 23:3 training 36:10 view 28:21 **Zen** 36:3 translation 42:7 vision 36:5 **true** 12:21 21:3 23:13 25:4,8 42:17 W trust 8:23 turn 7:20 20:6 21:19 37:17 walk 33:12 tweak 9:3 wanted 9:23 15:25 16:3 21:18,23 24:18 32:19 33:6 45:19 **type** 18:21 34:14 35:22 37:14 wanting 15:12 types 34:5 **watch** 17:9 typically 26:15 ways 38:12 U

UCT 23:6

understand 14:24 15:10 26:9,16 27:6 38:11 43:2

understanding 7:7

union 11:22 unit 11:20 45:8 units 10:22 28:17 unknown 32:14 **unlike** 35:16 unnatural 36:16

updated 31:14 upfront 27:19 urgency 21:2 **utilities** 9:10,13

unrestricted 40:25

weatherization 9:21 10:18,23 11:7 21:11

weeds 22:21

week 41:17,18 43:16 weeks 28:21 41:22 42:4

weighted 29:19

well-positioned 13:20

wire 20:4 45:15 word 11:24

work 11:20 14:12 16:25 28:2 32:22

40:16

workable 10:12,21 worked 31:15 worth 12:7

written 13:4 17:14,20